

ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ И ВОСПИТАНИЕ EDUCATION AND UPBRINGING

Siberia Humanitarian. 2025;(4):129—140.

Сибирь гуманитарная. 2025. № 4. С. 129—140.

Education and upbringing

Original article

UDK 37.01:004

EDN WFOMYZ

The Formation and Development of the Digital Educational Landscape in the Russian Federation: Historical Phases and Prospects

Marina I. Kovaleva

Siberian Institute of Management — branch of RANEPa, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation, kovaleva-mi@ranepa.ru, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9306-3582>

Abstract. This article examines the evolution and current trends of online education in the Russian Federation from the early 2000s to 2025. Using historical analysis, it traces the transition from experimental distance learning initiatives to integrated digital ecosystems. The study identifies four development phases: the experimental 2000s, the institutional 2010s, accelerated development during the pandemic (2020-2022), and the post-pandemic consolidation period. Using a combined methodology and secondary data analysis, the author examines national and regional practices. The results demonstrate a polycentric organization of the digital educational space with characteristic features: dynamic market growth, the spread of hybrid formats, the introduction of modular micro-qualification systems, and distinct regional specificities. Inevitable challenges include overcoming the digital divide, the need for systematic training of teaching staff, and the improvement of quality control mechanisms. The article concludes that the prospects for the development of online learning in Russia are linked to an optimal combination of centralized management and regional adaptation, the integration of artificial intelligence and analytical systems into teaching practices, and the development of digital literacy as a basis for sustainable development.

Keywords: online learning in Russia, e-learning, digital education, hybrid learning, educational technologies

For citation: Kovaleva M.I. The Formation and Development of the Digital Educational Landscape in the Russian Federation: Historical Phases and Prospects. *Siberia Humanitarian*. 2025;(4):129—140. (In Russ.). <https://elibrary.ru/wfomyz>.

Формирование и развитие онлайн-образования в России: исторические этапы и перспективы

Марина Ивановна Ковалева

Сибирский институт управления — филиал РАНХиГС, Новосибирск, Российская Федерация, kovaleva-mi@ranepa.ru, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9306-3582>

Аннотация. В статье исследуется эволюция и современные тенденции онлайн-обучения в Российской Федерации с начала 2000-х гг. по 2025 г. На основе исторического анализа прослеживается переход от экспериментальных инициатив в области дистанционного обучения к интегрированным цифровым экосистемам. В исследовании выделяются четыре фазы развития: экспериментальные 2000-е, институциональные 2010-е, ускоренное развитие в период пандемии (2020—2022) и период постпандемийной консолидации. Применяя комбинированную методологию и анализ вторичных данных, автор исследует национальные и региональные практики. Результаты демонстрируют полицентричную организацию цифрового образовательного пространства с характерными чертами: динамичным ростом рынка, распространением гибридных форматов, внедрением модульных систем микроквалификаций и выраженной региональной спецификой. К неизбежным вызовам относятся преодоление цифрового неравенства, необходимость системной подготовки преподавательского состава и совершенствование механизмов контроля качества. В статье делается вывод, что перспективы развития онлайн-обучения в России связаны с оптимальным сочетанием централизованного управления и региональных адаптационных решений, интеграцией искусственного интеллекта и аналитических систем в педагогическую практику, а также формированием цифровой грамотности как базиса устойчивого развития.

Ключевые слова: онлайн-обучение в России, электронное обучение, цифровое образование, гибридное обучение, образовательные технологии

Для цитирования: Ковалева М. И. Формирование и развитие онлайн-образования в России: исторические этапы и перспективы // Сибирь гуманитарная. 2025. № 4. С. 129—140. EDN WFOMYZ.

In recent years, online learning (also referred to as e-learning, distance education, or digital education) has evolved from an auxiliary method of instruction into a mainstream educational paradigm. In Russia, as elsewhere, the COVID-19 pandemic acted as a catalyst, forcing institutions to adopt remote and hybrid models at an unprecedented scale. The digitization of education accelerated rapidly, replacing conventional educational methods. The transformation revealed both the untapped potential of digital tools and the systemic challenges embedded within educational, technological, and social structures.

This article analyses major trajectories shaping Russian online education, drawing on theoretical foundations, historical development, empirical case studies, and regional comparisons. The study aims to clarify how Russia's online learning system has evolved, what structural factors drive its current trends, and what strategies may define its future growth.

To analyse modern trends in online learning, one must draw on multiple strands of theory: theories of educational technology adoption (e.g., diffusion of innovations), theories of digital pedagogy (how teaching and learning transform in online environments), and socio-technical perspectives (which emphasise the interrelation of technology, organisational structures, and human actors). In the Russian context, additional lenses, such as the digital divide, regional disparities, and government policy frameworks, are particularly relevant.

An important conceptual notion is educational ecosystems (or IT ecosystems) in which the Learning Management System (LMS) evolves into a broader digital platform including analytics, adaptive learning, virtual labs, and integration with institutional enterprise systems. The shift from isolated LMS to integrated digital platforms is a trend recognised globally and in Russia.

Another theoretical axis is personalisation and learner autonomy: the degree to which online systems enable individualised learning paths, adaptive content, and learners' control over pacing and content. In recent Russian educational reports, autonomous learning emerges as a central trend linking micro-credentials, hybrid formats, and continuous education.

These theoretical frameworks provide essential lenses through which to examine Russia's distinctive developmental trajectory in online education, which unfolded through four consequential phases.

The history of online learning in Russia follows a distinct path shaped by national infrastructure, cultural attitudes toward education, and global technological trends. Its development can be divided into four overlapping phases: the experimental 2000s, the institutional 2010s, the pandemic-accelerated 2020–2022 period, and the post-pandemic consolidation era that continues today.

A historical overview shows that distance education in Russia has a long tradition, including stages when it was predominantly conducted through correspondence and extramural studies. [1]

Phase 1: The Experimental 2000s (from correspondence to connectivity).

During the early 2000s, Russia's educational system began shifting from traditional correspondence models toward networked forms of distance education. The period was marked by experimentation in universities such as the Moscow State Technical University of Civil Aviation, the Tomsk Polytechnic University, and several branches of the Russian Academy of Public Administration (now RANEPA).

Internet access expanded rapidly, yet pedagogical practices remained largely transmissive. The emphasis was on uploading materials rather than interactive learning. Regional inequality in connectivity limited scalability, and early adopters operated in relative isolation.

The review of the article "Evolution Of Distance Education In Russia" documents that the period preceding the mass adoption of online networks was characterized by the use of telephones, mail, and only later, personal computers and the Internet. [2]

The introduction of dial-up internet and later broadband created a technical foundation for the first learning management systems (LMS) based on Moodle and open-source clones of Blackboard. However, institutional inertia and uneven infrastructure limited progress. The focus was primari-

ly on content delivery — posting lecture notes and PowerPoint files rather than on pedagogical transformation.

The experimental groundwork laid in the 2000s, while limited in scale, established a crucial foundation for what would become a more systematic, state-driven approach in the following decade.

Phase 2: The Institutional 2010s (e-learning becomes policy).

The 2010s brought a decisive shift: online learning evolved from experimental enthusiasm to state-supported modernisation policy. In 2012, the “Strategy for the Development of the Information Society in the Russian Federation” formally recognised digital education as a driver of human capital.

The federal project “Electronic Russia (2002–2010)” later succeeded by “Information Society (2011–2020)”, financed infrastructure upgrades for universities and schools. During this period, major LMS ecosystems (e.g., Moodle-based platforms at HSE and RANEPA) became institutional norms.

The 2010s marked the formal institutionalisation of online learning. Federal initiatives such as Electronic Russia (2002–2010) and Information Society (2011–2020) embedded digitalisation into national education strategies. Universities established e-learning departments, and LMS platforms became standard across higher education. Federal Law No. 273-FZ (29 December 2012), Article 16 defines e-learning as the organisation of educational activities with databases, ICT, and telecommunication networks; distance-learning technologies are defined alongside.

Internationally, Russia participated in the global MOOC boom: universities joined Coursera and edX in the early 2010s, while domestic platforms like Stepik (founded 2013) and OpenEdu (founded 2015) localised the model.

The most prominent online platforms in 2018 were the following: foreign companies Coursera and Udemy; and Russian companies, including those ranked among the 35 largest EdTech companies by revenue in 2018 (according to RBC). These were “Netology Group” (780 million RUB), SkillBox (540 million RUB), GeekBrains (480 million RUB), City Business School (207 million RUB), and two initiatives launched by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation: “Modern Digital Educational Environment in Russia” — Online.edu.ru (which is essentially a catalog of courses from other platforms and universities) and the National Platform. [3]

The theoretical debate at the time revolved around technological determinism versus pedagogical contextualism — whether digital tools alone could transform education, or whether a new pedagogy was needed. Russian scholars increasingly emphasised the latter, aligning with constructivist and socio-cultural theories (Vygotsky’s heritage remained influential). Theoretical debates shifted from technological determinism to pedagogical design: the dominant view became that digital transformation must be grounded in constructivist and socio-cultural principles rather than mere technological substitution.

Just as institutional models of e-learning began to solidify, the global COVID-19 pandemic acted as a powerful exogenous shock, abruptly ending the era of gradual adoption and forcing an immediate, nationwide transition.

Phase 3: The Pandemic Shock (2020–2022) (forced digitalisation).

The COVID-19 pandemic triggered rapid and compulsory migration to online formats. Within weeks, nearly all Russian universities and schools adopted remote instruction using Zoom, Microsoft Teams, Mirapolis, or Webinar.ru. Surveys by the HSE Institute of Education (2021) indicated that 70% said that the new format was less convenient for both them and their students, more than half (57%) of respondents believe that the current situation with online teaching may weaken the quality of higher education. [4]

Regulatory infrastructure tightened Government Decree No. 1836 (16 November 2020) established the state information system Modern Digital Educational Environment, formalising governance and platform integration. While not defining “online course” as a legal category, it structured how online offerings are catalogued and recognized.

It is since 2020 that online learning has begun to transform the face of traditional classes and make education more accessible than ever before. While elements of online learning certainly existed earlier, their mass adoption occurred precisely after this period. In Russia, as worldwide, people began transitioning to remote learning en masse: according to Statista, the total revenue from e-learning in Russia grew from US\$487.89 million in 2019 to US\$540.06 million in 2020, while the number of online platform users increased from 4.77 million to 6.44 million (a 1.35-fold increase) during the period under review. [5] Furthermore, a record amount of resources was invested in ICT maintenance in 2021. Rosstat recorded 2.9 trillion rubles spent on providing these technologies in the Russian economy. [6]

The COVID-19 pandemic represented an unprecedented inflection point. Within weeks, nearly all Russian educational institutions were forced to migrate to online or blended modes. Emergency adoption of Zoom, Microsoft Teams, and domestic analogues (Mirapolis, Webinar.ru) revealed both resilience and systemic fragility. This period exposed infrastructural gaps but simultaneously legitimised hybrid models and accelerated investments in learning platforms, assessment technologies, and digital literacy programmes.

The emergency response of the pandemic years, for all its challenges, ultimately served as a catalyst, propelling the system into a new phase of strategic consolidation and mature ecosystem development.

Phase 4: Post-2022 Consolidation (ecosystems and hybrid by default).

Post-2022, Russian online education entered a consolidation stage. Institutions began designing hybrid curricula as the norm, integrating analytics, adaptive systems, and credentialing. National platforms (OpenEdu, Stepik) and municipal projects (Moscow Electronic School) matured into full digital ecosystems. Regional disparities remain significant – Moscow and St. Petersburg lead in innovation, while remote regions lag in infrastructure. Nonetheless, online education has become part of institutional DNA, moving from emergency improvisation to strategic integration. Historically, Russia’s trajectory reflects a dialectic between centralisation and innovation – balancing state regulation, traditional academic culture, and global technological diffusion.

After 2022, online learning in Russia thus entered a consolidation phase, shifting from emergency improvisation to strategic integration. Universities began designing hybrid curricula by default, and digital plat-

forms matured into multi-service ecosystems integrating analytics, credentialing, and AI-driven personalisation.

Regional disparities – between Moscow, St. Petersburg, and peripheral regions such as Siberia or the Far East – remain the main structural challenge. Nonetheless, the direction is clear: online learning has transitioned from technological novelty to institutional infrastructure. This evolution mirrors international trajectories but carries distinct features: a strong role of the state in infrastructure and regulation, emphasis on mass education over elite niches, and the persistence of traditional pedagogical hierarchies.

Historically, Russian digital education thus reflects a dialectic between centralisation and innovation – between the bureaucratic legacy of Soviet education and the flexibility demanded by digital modernity.

Nowadays, issues related to Distance Learning Technologies (DLT) are widely discussed in methodological and scientific literature (E.S. Abdulaeva, Y.V. Borovikova, V.A. Chauzova, G.P. Zhirkova, A.R. Masalimova, T.M. Nintsieva, N.A. Orekhovskaya, V.A. Pivovarov, Y. Rishko, E. Sultanovna, etc.). It is noted that the main objective of distance learning technologies is to create conditions for fostering students' independent cognitive activity within a modern educational environment based on computer and telecommunication technologies. These educational technologies involve the active use of Internet tools, serving as a link between students and teachers who may be separated by thousands of kilometres. [7, p. 33]

Within this framework, online education is not merely the substitution of technology for teachers but a reconfiguration of roles, resources, and relations within the educational process. Russian scholars argue that successful digital transformation depends on “the systemic triad of infrastructure, pedagogy, and digital literacy,” echoing international literature on socio-technical co-evolution. [8]

To ground this historical and theoretical analysis in empirical evidence, the following section examines specific institutional and regional cases that illustrate the ongoing trends and structural dynamics within the Russian online learning landscape.

The present study synthesizes secondary data from academic publications, policy documents, and market reports between 2018 and 2025.

Studies on online learning trends in Russia employ a variety of methodologies:

Bibliometric / scientometric analyses: to trace research output over time, identify clusters of topics, and uncover co-authorship networks. For example, Masalimova et al. (2024) examine publications on online learning in BRICS countries (including Russia) using VOSviewer to map themes and collaborations. [9]

Case studies and qualitative inquiry: focusing on particular institutions (universities, schools), programs, or regions, employing interviews, observations, document analysis.

Surveys and questionnaires: targeting students, teachers, or administrators to capture attitudes, challenges, usage patterns, satisfaction, and perceived effectiveness.

Statistical/quantitative analyses: e.g. comparing students' performance pre- and post-transition to online learning. [10]

Mixed methods: combining qualitative and quantitative techniques to triangulate findings.

OpenEdu (openedu.ru) established by a consortium of leading Russian universities (including HSE, MSU, ITMO, MIPT, UrFU) offers online courses equivalent to traditional credit units. The courses meet federal standards and can substitute for on-campus modules. This initiative moves Russia toward a transparent national credit-transfer system between online and offline formats—an essential step in modular lifelong education.

The Stepik platform (stepik.org), with its auto-grading and analytics, provides bite-sized learning units embedded into university courses. Evaluations in engineering faculties report higher practice intensity and improved formative feedback compared with static video lectures. Stepik represents Russia's shift from content-delivery models to micro-didactics, emphasizing learner interaction and measurable mastery.

An example, the Siberian Institute of Management (SIM RANEPa) serves as a major regional case of hybrid education within the public-administration sector. Between 2021 and 2024, SIM integrated synchronous Zoom-based lectures with asynchronous Moodle modules for bachelor's and executive-education programs. Internal analytics show that student satisfaction with flexibility rose 38%, while completion rates remained statistically identical to pre-pandemic cohorts (SIM RANEPa Report 2024). Qualitative interviews revealed distinct regional benefits: rural civil servants could join executive programs without travel to Moscow, reducing costs by 40%. Over the past year, the Siberian Institute of Management (SIM RANEPa) has been implementing its own platform for its Distance Learning System (DLS). The Siberian case demonstrates that Russia's future in online learning will hinge on regional customization within a coherent national framework—an approach equally relevant to other federated systems.

One of the clearest trends is the rapid growth of the online education market. This growth is fueled by factors such as rising broadband penetration, mobile internet access, increasing digital literacy, and institutional adoption of online modalities. EdTech platforms and providers (e.g. Skillbox, GeekBrains, Netology) are becoming more competitive, expanding their reach. While fully remote instruction played a critical role during pandemic lockdowns, the post-pandemic trend is toward hybrid or blended models, combining online and face-to-face components. Russian participants in global trends studies prioritized hybrid learning and micro-credentialing. In the Russian market outlook, hybrid formats are seen as a core pillar of future growth. Hybrid models allow institutions to preserve the benefits of both modalities: face-to-face engagement, practical sessions, social interaction, alongside the flexibility and reach of digital content and asynchronous study.

Another emerging trend is the expansion of micro-credentials, short courses, “modules,” and stackable certificates, which allow learners to upskill continuously and flexibly. Online micro-credentials are viewed as tools to support progress in the workplace, bridging formal and informal education. In Russia, there is increasing recognition that continuous learning, upskilling, and reskilling will be essential in the evolving economy and labor market. This trend aligns with personalization: learners may assemble their educational path from modular components, combin-

ing courses from different providers, accredited or non-accredited, depending on their objectives.

Technological advancement underpins many of the shifts. Key innovations include:

artificial intelligence (AI)/machine learning integration for adaptive learning, recommendation engines, intelligent tutoring systems, and automated assessment. These systems promise to tailor content to individual learner profiles and optimize pacing; learning analytics and dashboards, enabling instructors and managers to monitor learners' engagement, progress, dropout risks, and intervene proactively;

virtual laboratories, simulations, augmented/virtual reality (AR/VR), especially in STEM fields where physical labs are impractical online. Russian reports cite virtual labs as an emerging solution; cloud infrastructure and scalability, allowing online platforms to support large numbers of concurrent users and integrate diverse tools (quizzes, video, discussion forums) under one umbrella.

These innovations help improve quality, interactivity, and retention, while also intensifying competition among EdTech providers.

For online learning to develop sustainably and equitably, institutional adoption and regulatory support are crucial. In Russia:

- many universities and schools have developed or expanded distance education departments or hybrid programs, often investing in digital infrastructure, training, and support services;

- quality assurance mechanisms, accreditation norms, and standards for online programs are being discussed or formulated, in order to legitimize and regulate online credentials;

- some government initiatives are promoting digital transformation in education, especially in regional and remote areas, to reduce educational disparities.

However, regional disparities remain significant: urban centers tend to lead in infrastructure, connectivity, and institutional capacity, whereas rural and peripheral regions lag behind.

Despite robust momentum, online learning in Russia faces persistent challenges:

- digital divide and infrastructure limitations: not all learners have reliable high-speed Internet, modern devices, or stable electricity, especially in remote or less-developed regions;

- motivation, engagement, and self-regulation: online learning demands high levels of self-discipline; many learners struggle with motivation, time management, or isolation;

- pedagogical challenges: simply transplanting traditional lectures to video format is inadequate; effective digital pedagogy requires rethinking content structure, interaction, feedback, assessment, and scaffolding;

- faculty readiness and training: many instructors have limited experience or digital pedagogical skills; professional development, incentives, and support systems are often insufficient;

- quality assurance and accreditation: absence of consistent standards may lead to variable quality, skepticism, and fragmentation;

- institutional inertia and resistance: bureaucratic structures, legacy processes, and organizational culture sometimes resist change;

– data privacy, security, and intellectual property issues: managing student data, protecting content, and establishing proper licensing and copy-right frameworks are growing concerns.

These challenges serve as constraints that the ongoing trends must negotiate.

There is currently a keenly felt shortage of professional development courses for teachers in the field of online resources. [11, p. 261] Although a wealth of materials on any subject can be found online, courses on the application of artificial intelligence by university lecturers and school teachers are in particularly high demand.

Synthesizing the historical phases, case studies, and identified trends reveals a complex picture of digital education in Russia, characterized by significant achievements alongside persistent, systemic challenges.

This article has traced the major trends in the development of online learning in Russia: robust market growth, the dominance of hybrid models, rising modular credentials and lifelong learning orientation, technological innovation (AI, analytics, virtual labs), and institutional and regulatory evolution. At the same time, persistent challenges-digital divides, pedagogical readiness, quality assurance, and cultural resistance-must be addressed for sustainable progress.

A Russian analysis of barriers in university online education lists key issues in student support, motivation, infrastructure, and institutional readiness. Earlier studies on e-learning trends in Russia emphasize the role of ICT expansion, increasing demand for flexible education, and the need for pedagogical change. Sultanovna and Nintsieva (2024) argue that digital literacy, particularly among students and teachers, is a foundational factor in successful adoption; they also emphasize that the transformation of content, methodology, and organizational forms is necessary for sustainable development.

Looking ahead, several prospects and recommendations emerge:

- further integration of AI and adaptive systems will refine personalization, but must be carefully aligned with pedagogical principles and fairness;
- strengthening standards and accreditation frameworks is essential to build trust in online credentials and create coherent pathways between online and traditional education;
- investing in capacity-building for faculty and support systems (instructional design, digital pedagogy, and learner support) is critical;
- bridging regional disparities by prioritizing infrastructure in underserved regions, partnerships with local institutions, and ensuring equity in access;
- fostering research and international collaboration to enrich Russian scholarship in online education, enabling comparative learning and innovation;
- monitoring and evaluating outcomes rigorously will help refine practices. Mixed methods and longitudinal studies should track learning gains, retention, cost-effectiveness, and social impact;
- embracing lifelong learning ecosystems will allow individuals to assemble modular credentials and pathways over time, linking formal, non-formal, and informal online offerings.

In conclusion, Russia's digital education landscape shows a polycentric structure: large metropolitan hubs pilot advanced ecosystems, regional

governments adapt with pragmatic pluralism, and national consortia institutionalize credit-bearing MOOCs. The remaining challenge lies in balancing innovation with standardization and inclusivity. Addressing these challenges effectively could enable the establishment of a robust, equitable, and flexible digital education ecosystem in Russia over the next decade, thereby contributing to human capital development, regional cohesion, and enhanced innovation capacity.

Список источников

1. Тарасова А. В. Исторический обзор дистанционного обучения в России и за рубежом // Professional Education in Russia and Abroad. 2021. No. 1 (41). С. 183—188. URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/istoricheskiy-obzor-dstantsionnogo-obucheniya-v-rossii-i-za-rubezhom> (дата обращения: 16.10.2025).
2. Evolution Of Distance Education In Russia / A. S. Kubrikova, G. I. Petrova, V. V. Saffronov, T. I. Shapovalova // International Conference On Economic And Social Trends For Sustainability Of Modern Society (Krasnoyarsk, 20—22 мая 2020 г.) / Krasnoyarsk Science and Technology City Hall. Krasnoyarsk, 2020. Т. 90. С. 166—173.
3. Ершов А. Н., Салатова А. А. Развитие современного российского рынка онлайн-образования с позиций общества знания // Регионология. 2020. Т. 28, № 3. С. 543—570.
4. Survey Shows Russian University Teachers Have Overcome Fears of Digitalization // Национальный исследовательский университет «Высшая школа экономики»: [сайт]. 2021. 26 янв. URL: <https://www.hse.ru/en/news/research/437457977.html> (дата обращения: 16.10.2025).
5. Online education in Russia: Statistics report about online education in Russia // Statista. URL: <https://www.statista.com/study/75410/online-education-in-russia/> (дата обращения: 05.10.2025).
6. Швец Г. К., Инечкин Д. К. Изменение роли информационных и коммуникационных технологий в экономике современной России в период с 2011 по 2021 гг. // Актуальные аспекты модернизации российской экономики : материалы IX Всерос. заоч. науч.-практ. конф. студентов, аспирантов и молодых ученых (Санкт-Петербург, 22 дек. 2022 г.). Т. 1. С. 324—330
7. Ковалева М. И. Особенности дистанционных образовательных технологий при обучении иностранным языкам в неязыковом вузе // Актуальные вопросы образования. 2019. № 1 (67). С. 32—35.
8. Abdulayeva E. S., Nintsieva T. M. Digital Education in Russia: Trends, Challenges and Development Strategies // European Publisher. 2024. URL: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384553321> (дата обращения: 16.10.2025).
9. Analyzing trends in online learning in higher education in the BRICS countries through bibliometric data / A. R. Masalimova, N. A. Orekhovskaya, V. A. Pivovarov, Y. V. Borovikova, G. P. Zhirkova and V. A. Chazova // Frontiers in Education. 2024. Vol. 9. URL: <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1409013> (дата обращения: 16.10.2025).
10. Analysis of the Impact of the Transition to Online Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Future Academic Performance of University Students / Y. Rishko, et al. // SAGE Open. 2025. Vol. 15, no. 1. URL: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/21582440251324753> (дата обращения: 16.10.2025).
11. Ковалева М. И. Игровые онлайн-технологии при обучении иностранному языку в вузе: проблемы и перспективы // Актуальные вопросы образования. 2021. № 3. С. 259—263.

References

1. Tarasova A.V. Istoricheskii obzor distantsionnogo obucheniya v Rossii i za rubezhom [A Historical Overview of Distance Learning in Russia and Abroad], Professional Education in Russia and Abroad, 2021, no. 1 (41), pp. 183-188. Available at:

- <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/istoricheskiy-obzor-distantionnogo-obucheniya-v-rossii-i-za-rubezhom> (accessed: 16.10.2025).
2. Kubrikova A.S., Petrova G.I., Safronov V.V., Shapovalova T.I. Evolution Of Distance Education In Russia, International Conference On Economic And Social Trends For Sustainability Of Modern Society (Krasnoyarsk, 20-22 May 2020). Krasnoyarsk, 2020, vol. 90, pp. 166-173.
 3. Yershov A.N., Salatova A.A. Razvitie sovremennogo rossiiskogo rinka onlain-obrazovaniya s pozitsii obshchestva znaniya [Development of the Modern Russian Online Education Market from the Perspective of the Knowledge Society], Regionologiya [Regionology], 2020, vol. 28, no 3, pp. 543-570.
 4. Survey Shows Russian University Teachers Have Overcome Fears of Digitalization, Natsionalnii issledovatel'skii universitet "Visshaya shkola ekonomiki" [HSE University], [site], 2021, 26 January. Available at: <https://www.hse.ru/en/news/research/437457977.html> (accessed: 16.10.2025).
 5. Online education in Russia: Statistics report about online education in Russia, Statista. Available at: <https://www.statista.com/study/75410/online-education-in-russia/> (accessed: 05.10.2025).
 6. Shvets G.K., Inechkin D.K. Izmenenie roli informatsionnikh i kommunikatsionnikh tekhnologii v ekonomike sovremennoi Rossii v period s 2011 po 2021 gg. [The Changing Role of Information and Communication Technologies in the Economy of Modern Russia from 2011 to 2021], Aktualnie aspekty modernizatsii rossiiskoi ekonomiki, materialy IX Vseros. zaoch. nauch.-prakt. konf. studentov, aspirantov i molodikh uchenikh (Sankt-Peterburg, 22 December, 2022) [Current Aspects of Modernizing the Russian Economy: Materials of the IX All-Russian Correspondence Scientific and Practical Conference of Students, Postgraduates, and Young Scientists (St.Peterburg, 22 December, 2022)], vol. 1, pp. 324-330.
 7. Kovaleva M.I. Osobennosti distantsionnikh obrazovatel'nykh tekhnologii pri obuchenii inostrannim yazykam v neyazykovom vuze [Features of Distance Learning Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching at a Non-Linguistic University], Aktualnie voprosy obrazovaniya [Topical issues of education], 2019, no. 1 (67), pp. 32-35.
 8. Abdulayeva E.S., Nintsieva T.M. Digital Education in Russia: Trends, Challenges and Development Strategies, European Publisher, 2024. Available at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384553321> (accessed: 16.10.2025).
 9. Masalimova A.R., Orekhovskaya N.A., Pivovarov V.A., Borovikova Y.V., Zhirkova G.P., Chazova V.A. Analyzing trends in online learning in higher education in the BRICS countries through bibliometric data, Frontiers in Education, 2024, vol. 9. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1409013> (accessed: 16.10.2025).
 10. Rishko Y. et al. Analysis of the Impact of the Transition to Online Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Future Academic Performance of University Students, SAGE Open, 2025, vol. 15, no. 1. Available at: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/21582440251324753> (accessed: 16.10.2025).
 11. Kovaleva M.I. Igrovie onlain-tekhnologii pri obuchenii inostrannomu yaziku v vuze: problemi i perspektivi [Game-Based Online Technologies in Foreign Language Teaching at Universities: Problems and Prospects], Aktualnie voprosy obrazovaniya [Topical Issues of Education], 2021, no. 3, pp. 259-263.

Информация об авторе

Ковалева Марина Ивановна — кандидат педагогических наук, доцент, Сибирский институт управления — филиал РАНХиГС, Новосибирск, Российская Федерация.
E-mail: kovaleva-mi@ranepa.ru

Information about the author

Marina I. Kovaleva — Candidate of Pedagogy Sciences, Associate Professor, Siberian Institute of Management — branch of RANEPА, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation. E-mail: kovaleva-mi@ranepa.ru

Статья поступила в редакцию 30.09.2025; одобрена после рецензирования 24.10.2025; принята к публикации 29.10.2025.

The article was submitted 30.09.2025; approved after reviewing 24.10.2025; accepted for publication 29.10.2025.